# Spread of Natural Waves in Cylindrical Panel.

Author's Details: <sup>(1)</sup>Safarov I .I., <sup>(2)</sup>Teshaev M. Kh., <sup>(3)</sup>Akhmedov M.Sh., <sup>(4)</sup>Boltayev Z.I. <sup>(1)</sup>Safarov Ismail Ibrahimovich Doctor of physical and mathematical sciences, professor, Bukhara Technological-Institute of Engineering Republic of Uzbekistan. <sup>(2)</sup>Teshaev M. Kh. Bukhara technological institute of engineering, Bukhara, Republic of Uzbekistan <sup>(3)</sup>Akhmedov Maqsud Sharipovich- researcher, Bukhara Technological- Institute of Engineering Republic of

Uzbekistan.15 K. Murtazoyev Street

<sup>(4)</sup>Boltayev Zafar Ixtivarovich – researcher, Bukhara Technological- Institute of Engineering Republic of Uzbekistan.15 K. Murtazoyev Street

#### Abstract:

Considered the propagation of harmonic waves in cylindrical panels with variable thickness. To derive the equations of the used Virtual work. Solving boundary value problem obtained by the method orthogonal pivotal condensation Godunov. Dispersion curves were investigated depending on several of geometrical parameters of the system. Keywords: cylindrical shell, the hypothesis of the Kirchhoff - Love, harmonic waves, viscoelastic panels, mid-surface.

Introduction. Wave processes in the form of elastic fibers in the isotropic and anisotropic cylindrical shells of constant thickness are well studied [1, 2, 3]. A large number of works devoted to the dynamics of shells described by Timoshenko model [4, 5, 6, 7]. In [8] for the study of wave processes used asymptotic methods of wave propagation in a cylindrical shell with a small change in its thickness along the axis. Despite the large number of papers devoted to the problem of wave propagation in waveguides.

The research problem of wave propagation in viscoelastic (cylindrical panel) variable thickness represents a significant theoretical practical interest.

## STATEMENT OF THE WAVE PROBLEM

Regarded an endless a deformed a cylindrical panel with a thickness h, densities  $\rho$ . In the orthogonal curvilinear coordinate system,  $(\alpha_1; \alpha_2; z)$  at z = 0 shell occupies the region

$$-\infty < \alpha_1 < +\infty; \ 0 < \alpha_2 < l; \ -\frac{h}{2} < z < \frac{h}{2}$$

Curvature of the middle surface z = 0 are equal  $k_1 = 0$ ;  $k_2 = \frac{1}{R}$  respectively coordinates  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . Within the framework of hypotheses Kirchhoff - Love the variation component of the displacement vector

$$u_1(x) = u - \theta_1 z; \quad u_2(x) = \mathcal{G} - \theta_2 z; \quad u_3(x) = w,$$
 (1)

Where  $u, \theta, w$ - components of the displacement vector of the middle surface;  $\theta_1, \theta_2$ - rotation angles with respect to the normal axis  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ .

To derive the equations panel used Virtual work

 $u_1(x), u_2(x), u_3(x)$  panels are determined by the following relations [1, 2]

$$\delta \Pi = \delta \Gamma$$
 (2)

Where  $\delta \Pi$  – variation of the potential energy of the shell;  $\delta \Gamma$  – virtual work of the inertial forces panels mass. In this paper V.V. Novozhilov [1], taking into account the relations (1) the deduction for the following expression based on the linear theory of elasticity

$$\partial \Pi = \int_{F} \{T_1 \delta \varepsilon_1 + T_2 \delta \varepsilon_2 + S \delta \varepsilon_{12} + M_1 \delta \chi_1 + M_2 \delta \chi_2 + 2N \delta \tau \} d\alpha_1 d\alpha_2, (3)$$

where  $T_1, T_2, S, M_1, M_2, N$  – forces and moments;  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_{12}, \chi_1, \chi_2, \tau$  – components of deformation of the middle surface. In (3) we have omitted terms are of the order  $\frac{h}{R}$ . According to [1] the tangential components of bending deformation of the middle surface are expressed in terms of its movement and rotation angles are normal as follows

Impact Factor 3.582 Case Studies Journal ISSN (2305-509X) – Volume 4, Issue 3 - March-2015

$$\varepsilon_{1} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_{1}}; \varepsilon_{2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \alpha_{2}} + k_{2}w; \varepsilon_{12} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \alpha_{1}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_{2}};$$
  

$$\chi_{1} = \frac{\partial \theta_{1}}{\partial \alpha_{1}}; \quad \chi_{2} = \frac{\partial \theta_{2}}{\partial \alpha_{2}}; \quad \tau = \frac{\partial \theta_{2}}{\partial \alpha_{1}};$$
  

$$\theta_{1} = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha_{1}}; \quad \theta_{2} = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha_{2}} + k_{2}\mathcal{P}$$
  
(4)

In turn, forces and moments associated with the components of the strain of defining relations arising from the generalized Hooke's law:

$$T_{1} = \tilde{c} (\varepsilon_{1} + v\varepsilon_{2}),$$
  

$$M_{1} = \tilde{D} (\chi_{1} - v\chi_{2}), S = \tilde{A}\varepsilon_{12}; N = \tilde{B}\tau$$

where

$$\widetilde{c} = \frac{\widetilde{E}h}{1-\nu^2}; \quad \widetilde{D} = \frac{\widetilde{E}h^3}{12(1-\nu^2)};$$
$$\widetilde{A} = \frac{\widetilde{E}h}{2(1+\nu)}; \quad \widetilde{B} = \frac{\widetilde{E}h^3}{12(1+\nu)}$$

 $\widetilde{E}$  – modulus operator, which have the form [9].:

$$\widetilde{E}\varphi(t) = E_{01}\left[\varphi(t) - \int_{0}^{t} R_{E}(t-\tau)\varphi(t)d\tau\right]$$
(5)

 $\varphi(t)$  – Arbitrary function of time;  $R_E(t-\tau)$  – relaxation kernel;  $E_{01}$  – instantaneous modulus of elasticity; Accept the integral terms in (5) small, then the function  $\varphi(t) = \psi(t)e^{-i\omega_R t}$ , where  $\psi(t)$ - slowly varying function of time,  $\omega_R$  - real constant. Next, using the procedure of freezing [10], we note the relation (5) approximate species

$$\overline{E}\varphi = E\left[1 - \Gamma^{C}(\omega_{R}) - i\Gamma^{S}(\omega_{R})\right]\varphi = \overline{E}\varphi,$$

where

$$\Gamma^{C}(\omega_{R}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} R(\tau) \cos \omega_{R} \tau \, d\tau \, ,$$

 $\Gamma^{C}(\omega_{R}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} R(\tau) \cos \omega_{R} \tau d\tau$ , respectively, the cosine and sine Fourier transforms core material relaxation. As an

example, assume three viscoelastic relaxation parametric kernel  $R(t) = Ae^{-\beta t} / t^{1-\alpha}$ , has a weak singularity[9].  $\nu$  – Poisson's ratio. It is supposed that the inertial forces in the corners  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  small and compared to the other forces of inertia. Given this, if we neglect the inertia of the normal rotation, the virtual work of the inertial forces shell can be written as:

$$\delta T = -\int_{F} \rho h(\ddot{u}\delta u + \ddot{\vartheta}\delta\vartheta + \ddot{w}\delta w) d\alpha_{1} d\alpha_{2} (6)$$

After substituting (3) and (6) in (2) and standard procedures for integration by parts, taking into account the relation (4) we obtain the equations of motion in the form of

Impact Factor 3.582 Case Studies Journal ISSN (2305-509X) – Volume 4, Issue 3 - March-2015

$$\frac{\partial T_{1}}{\partial \alpha_{1}} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_{2}} = -\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial T_{2}}{\partial \alpha_{2}} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_{1}} + k_{2}Q_{2} = -\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} 9}{\partial t^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q_{1}}{\partial \alpha_{1}} + \frac{\partial Q_{2}}{\partial \alpha_{2}} - k_{2}T_{2} = -\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}$$

$$Q_{1} = \frac{\partial M_{1}}{\partial \alpha_{1}}; \quad Q_{2} = \frac{\partial M_{2}}{\partial \alpha_{2}} + 2\frac{\partial N}{\partial \alpha_{1}}$$
(8)

Alternative boundary conditions of the free edge, or anchorage, with  $\alpha_2 = 0, l$  are the following: the free edge

$$S = 0; T_2 = 0; M_1 = 0; Q_2 = 0$$
 (9);

rigid seal

 $u = 0, \ \mathcal{G} = 0, \ w = 0, \ Q_2 = 0$  (10)

Using relationships (4) and (5), (7), (8) a complete system of equations of motion can be written as eight differential equations Placing on the first derivatives  $\alpha_2$ :

$$\begin{split} \overline{A} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_2} &= S - \overline{A} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \alpha_1}; \\ \overline{c} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial \alpha_2} &= T_2 - \overline{c} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_1} - \overline{c} k_2 w \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{D} \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial \alpha_2} &= M_2 + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \alpha_1^2}; \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \alpha_2} &= -\theta_2 + k_2 \mathcal{P} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial \alpha_2} &= -\rho h \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}}{\partial t^2} - \overline{c} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \alpha_1^2} - v \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial \alpha_1} \\ \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial \alpha_2} &= -\rho h \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_1} - k_2 Q_2 \qquad (11) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial \alpha_2} &= -\rho h \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + \overline{D} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \alpha_1^4} - v \frac{\partial^2 M_2}{\partial \alpha_1^2} + k_2 T_2; \quad \frac{\partial M_2}{\partial \alpha_2} &= Q_2 - 2\overline{B} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_2}{\partial \alpha_1^2}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\overline{c} = \frac{\overline{E}h}{1 - \nu^2}; \quad \overline{D} = \frac{\overline{E}h^3}{12(1 - \nu^2)};$$
$$\overline{A} = \frac{\overline{E}h}{2(1 + \nu)}; \quad \overline{B} = \frac{\overline{E}h^3}{12(1 + \nu)}$$

In the case of traveling along  $\alpha_1$  harmonic wave solutions of the boundary value problem for the system (11) with boundary conditions of (9) and (10) allow separation of variables.

$$u = z_1 e^{i(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)};$$
  

$$\vartheta = z_2 e^{i(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)};$$
  

$$w = z_3 e^{i^{(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)}};$$
  

$$\theta_2 = z_4 e^{i(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)};$$
  

$$S = z_5 e^{i(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)};$$
  

$$T_2 = z_6 e^{i(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)};$$
  

$$\theta_2 = z_7 e^{i(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)};$$

http://www.casestudiesjournal.com

$$M_2 = z_8 e^{i(k\alpha_1 - \omega t)};$$

where  $\omega = \omega_R + i\omega_I$  – complex natural frequency; k – the wave number;  $\omega_R$  – the real part of the complex frequency;  $\rho$  – density;  $z_j(\alpha_2)(j = 1,2,3..8)$  – function waveform. To ascertain their physical meaning of the case:

1)  $k = \kappa_R$ ;  $V = C_R + iC_I$  – Then the solution of (9) is given by a sine wave z, whose amplitude decays over time;

2)  $k = \kappa_R + i\kappa_I$ ;  $V = C_R$  – Then at each point z fluctuations established by  $\alpha_1$  damped.

Further assuming that both the shell edge  $\alpha_2 = 0$  and  $\alpha_2 = l$  – free. After substitution of (12) in equation (11) and taking into account the boundary conditions (9), we have the spectral Boundary Value Problem  $\omega$  for a system of eight ordinary differential equations for the complex function form:

$$z_{1}' = z_{5}/\overline{A} + kz_{2}, z_{2}' = z_{6}/\overline{c} + vkz_{1} - k_{2}z_{3}, z_{3}' = -z_{4} + k_{2}z_{2}, z_{4}' = z_{8}/D - vk^{2}z_{3},$$

$$z_{5}' = h(\overline{E}k^{2} - \rho\omega^{2})z_{1} + vh^{2}z_{6}, \quad (13)$$

$$z_{6}' = -h\rho\omega^{2}z_{2} - kz_{5} - k_{2}z_{7}, \quad z_{7}' = -h\rho\omega^{2}z_{3} + \overline{E}/12h^{3}k^{4}z_{3} + vk^{2}z_{8} + k_{2}z_{6}; z_{8}' = z_{7} + \overline{G}/3h^{3}k^{2}z_{4};$$

$$z_{5} = z_{6} = z_{7} = z_{8} = 0; \ \alpha_{2} = 0, l$$

In the analysis of the dispersion parameter of harmonic waves k assume given.

## Numerical analysis of the dispersion of normal waves in cylindrical panels

Based on the solution of the problem (13) orthogonal sweep method of Godunov was performed numerical analysis of the dispersion of these waves.

Fig. 1 and 2 shows the dependence of the real part of the complex phase velocity of the first two modes of the wave number for various waveguides. In all variants of calculation, the following dimensionless parameters canister

E = 1,  $\rho = 1$ ,  $\nu = 0,25$ , l=1, A = 0,048;  $\beta = 0,05$ ;  $\alpha = 0,1$ . Thickness *h* varies linearly

$$h(\alpha_2) = h_1 + \Delta h \ \alpha_2 \quad (14)$$
$$\Delta h = (h_2 - h_1) / l$$

The solid lines in the figures correspond to the embodiments of the constant thickness 
$$(h_1 = h_2 = 0.1)$$
, the dotted  
lines characterize the panel with a tapered section ( $\Delta h = 0.0001$ ). In the latter case,  $h_2 = 0.1$ , and the  
thickness  $h_1 = 0.001$ . Parameters constant  $k_2$  of curvature and takes values of  $45^0$  and  $90^0$ . The broken line in Fig.  
1 and 2 correspond to the considered case of Kirchhoff plates with -Lave  $k_2 = 0$ . From Figures 1 and 2 show a  
qualitative difference in the behavior of the dispersion curves of the first mode, the corresponding shell and plate. If in  
the second phase velocity curve is monotonic in the first case there is a typical maximum range in the medium, which  
is attributed to higher flexural shell severity as compared with the plate. Actual speed of the second mode, unlike the  
case of the constant thickness also generally increased with increasing curvature. At the same time, as one would  
expect, the larger curvature  $k_2$  more slowly takes you to a site without dispersion movement ( $c = const$ ) with  
increasing wave number. As for the localization, it increases with increasing curvature (for sufficiently large k for  
example, in  $k = 10$ ). Moreover, this increased localization in the cylindrical panel is characteristic for both modes  
(real part of the complex velocity). With the growth parameter  $k_2$  there is a tendency to increase speed ( $C_R$ ) flexural  
mode and reduce the rate of tensional modes. Speed damping coefficient ( $C_I$ ) bending mode decreases the rate of the  
parameters  $k_2$  and increases the rate of decay

Corresponds to the torsion mode.



1. With the increase in the curvature of the cylindrical constant thickness increases the real part of the complex  $(C_R = \operatorname{Re} al(V))$  the propagation velocity of the first bending mode and decreases the speed of propagation of the second tensional mode.

2. In the case of a wedge-shaped cylindrical panel for each mode, there are limits propagation velocity with increasing wave number coinciding in magnitude with the corresponding velocities of normal waves in a wedge-shaped plate of zero curvature. In the short-range localization movement exists and increases with the curvature of the panel.



#### Impact Factor 3.582 Case Studies Journal ISSN (2305-509X) – Volume 4, Issue 3 - March-2015

# REFERENCES

- 1. Ajnola L. to executed the principles of dynamic theory of shells-izv. An Estonian Ssr. Phys., math., 1968, 17, no. 3, 283-289
- 2. Ajnola L., Nigul W.c. wave processes of deformation and elastic membranes-izv. An Estonian Ssr. 1965, 14, no. 1, 3-63
- 3. Grinchenko V., Myaleshka V.v. harmonics and waves in elastic Te-Lah. -Kiev. Naukova Dumka, 1981. p. 284
- 4. Nigul W.c. wave processes of deformation of shells and plates. -Troy VI wees. Conference on the theory of shells and plates, 1969, Moscow, Nauka, 1970. 846-883
- 5. Prikhodko v.Yu., tûtekin V.v. Normal wave longitudinal-slip flow in elastic bands with variable thickness. -Acoustic j., 1982, 28, no. 3. 393-397
- 6. Saksonov 2002 extending the waves in a cylindrical shell. -1971, 7, no. 1, 124-128
- 7. Yu Y.Y. vibrations of thin cylindrical shells analyzed by means of donnell-type equations.
- 8. Safarov i.i., Tešaev M.h., Boltaev Z.i. wave processes in mechanical waveguide.
- LAP LAMBERT Academic publishing (Germany). 2012., 217 p.
- 9. Koltunov M.a. Creep and relaxation. -M.: vysshaya Shkola, 1976.-section.
- 10. Sunčaliev r.m., Filatov and. some methods of research goals nelinej theory of viscoelasticity//DAN SSSR, 1972.206, no. 1. p. 201-203.

http://www.springer.com/mathematics/computational+science+%26+engineering/journal/12190